



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,655	04/14/2004	Tadashi Tsukamoto	Q80949	4962
23373	7590	04/21/2008	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			HENNING, MATTHEW T	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/823,655	TSUKAMOTO, TADASHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MATTHEW T. HENNING	2131	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2004.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 April 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :4/14/04; 11/14/05; 12/15/05; 4/6/06.

1 This action is in response to the communication filed on 4/14/2004.

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-20 have been examined.

Title

5 The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly
6 indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Information Disclosure Statement

8 The information disclosure statement(s) (IDS) submitted on 4/14/2004, 11/14/2005,
9 12/15/2005, and 4/6/2006 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly,
10 the examiner is considering the information disclosure statements.

Drawings

12 The drawings filed on 4/14/2004 are acceptable for examination proceedings.

Specification

14 Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

16 *The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on*
17 *a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed*
18 *150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the*
19 *printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means"*
20 *and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist*
21 *readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.*

23 *The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the*
24 *title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"*
25 *"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.*

27 The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because:

28 "The present invention provides" can be implied and therefor should be removed.

29 Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

2 Claims 5-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: The word “copying”
3 has been misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

10 Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-
11 statutory subject matter. In this case, the claims are directed towards a computer program *per se*.
12 The computer program *per se* is nothing more than non-functional descriptive language.
13 Because the claim does not recite that the computer program is embodied on a tangible medium,
14 such as a computer readable storage medium, acting as computer component to realize its
15 functionality, the claim is directed only to non-functional descriptive language. Therefore, the
16 claims in question do not appear to fall within a statutory category of invention as set forth in 35
17 USC 101.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

19 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the
20 basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

21 *A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —*

22 (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign
23 country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of
24 application for patent in the United States.
25

1 Claims 1, 4-9, 11-13, 15, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being
2 anticipated by Sashihara et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0165008) hereinafter
3 referred to as Sashihara.

4 Regarding claims 1 and 13, Sashihara disclosed a mobile terminal (Sashihara Fig. 2)
5 capable of identifying an authorized user (Sashihara [0004]: SIM based systems are capable of
6 identifying authorized users using the SIM card), when a user connects a detachable memory
7 medium to the mobile terminal (Sashihara [0004]: SIM card mounting), based on identification
8 (ID) information stored in the memory medium (Sashihara [0004]: SIM identification
9 information), comprising: memory area creating means for creating a memory area, which is
10 unique to each authorized user, in association with the ID information of the user (Sashihara
11 [0049]: the given area of the external memory where the encrypted ID is stored); encrypting
12 means for reading out ID information from the memory medium connected to the mobile
13 terminal (Sashihara [0051]: registered ID read from SIM), and encrypting personal contents fed
14 to the mobile terminal-on the basis of the ID information (Sashihara [0051]: encrypts the read
15 private data using the registered ID); storing means for storing the encrypted personal contents in
16 a specific memory area associated with the ID information (Sashihara [0051] and [0075]: stores
17 the encrypted result into the external memory); and decrypting means for reading out ID
18 information from the memory medium connected to the mobile terminal (Sashihara [0020]: read
19 out the registered identification code from the subscriber card), and decrypting, based on the ID
20 information, the personal contents encrypted and stored in the specific memory area associated
21 with the ID information, thereby rendering the personal contents accessible to the user (Sashihara
22 [0021]: decoding the data makes it accessible to the user that mounted the card).

1 Regarding claim 20, Sashihara disclosed that programs control the operation of the phone
2 in which the method is described (Sashihara [0008]).

3 Regarding claim 4, Sashihara disclosed information sharing means which allows the
4 users at least either to write contents into a common memory area, which is shared by a plurality
5 of authorized users, or to gain access to contents stored in the common memory area. (Sashihara
6 [0021]: storing the decoded data in internal memory).

7 Regarding claim 5, Sashihara disclosed operation means for, when the memory medium
8 is connected by the user to the mobile terminal and the personal contents is accessible by the
9 user, at least either coping or transferring the personal contents to the common memory area in
10 accordance with the user's operation (Sashihara [0021]).

11 Regarding claim 6, Sashihara disclosed operation means for, when the memory medium
12 is connected by the user to the mobile terminal and the personal contents is accessible by the
13 user, at least either coping or transferring information stored at the common memory area to the
14 specific memory area associated with the ID information in accordance with the user's operation
15 (Sashihara [0051]: reading the data stored in the EEPROM and storing it in the external
16 memory).

17 Regarding claims 7 and 15, Sashihara disclosed that the encrypting means generates a
18 cryptographic key based on ID information read out from the memory medium connected to the
19 mobile terminal, and encrypts personal contents using the cryptographic key (Sashihara [0049]
20 and [0051]).

21 Regarding claims 8 and 17, Sashihara disclosed decrypting means generates a
22 cryptographic key on the basis of ID information read out from the memory medium connected

1 to the mobile terminal, and decrypts the encrypted personal contents stored in the specific
2 memory area associated with the ID information by using the cryptographic key (Sashihara
3 [0021]).

4 Regarding claim 9, Sashihara disclosed that the ID information is a subscriber
5 information used for identifying a subscriber who is authorized to receive service to be provided
6 via the mobile terminal, or a serial number uniquely assigned to the mobile terminal (Sashihara
7 [0004]).

8 Regarding claim 11, Sashihara disclosed that the mobile terminal is shared by a plurality
9 of users and comprises a fixed specific memory area uniquely assigned to each of the user
10 (Sashihara [0070]: where the private data is stored is assumed to be predetermined...for each
11 registered user); said storing means, when the encrypted personal contents of a user is stored in
12 the fixed memory area specifically assigned to the user, attaches a tag on a header portion of the
13 fixed memory area (Sashihara [0049]: stores an encrypted ID into a given area of the external
14 memory); and said decrypting means, when it is required to decrypt the encrypted personal data,
15 determines the fixed memory area specifically assigned to the user by seeking the tag based on
16 the ID information read from the memory medium currently connected to the mobile terminal
17 (Sashihara [0060] – [0064]).

18 Regarding claims 12 and 19, Sashihara disclosed that the memory medium is an IC card
19 based on a common standard (Sashihara [0004]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
3 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

4 A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or
5 described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter
6 sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have
7 been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to
8 which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which
9 the invention was made.

10

11 Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sashihara.

12 While Sashihara disclosed dynamic management of storing the encrypted data in the
13 external memory, and decrypting the data read from the external memory (Sashihara [0049]-
14 [0051] and [0060]-[0064]), Sashihara failed to specifically disclose that the data is managed as
15 data files having a varied size in accordance with file management information. However,
16 storing data as files of varying size is well known in the art of data storage. As such, it would
17 have been obvious to the ordinary person to have stored the data as files of varying size. This
18 would have been obvious because the ordinary person skilled in the art would have been
19 motivated to utilize what was well known in the art.

20 Claims 2-3, 14, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable
21 over Sashihara as applied to claims 1 and 13 above, and further in view of Chujo et al. (US
22 Patent Application Publication 2002/0023156) hereinafter referred to as Chujo.

23 While Sashihara disclosed that the encrypting means generates a cryptographic key based
24 on ID information read out from the memory medium connected to the mobile terminal, and
25 encrypts personal contents using the cryptographic key (Sashihara [0049] and [0051]); and

1 further that the decrypting means generates a cryptographic key on the basis of ID information
2 read out from the memory medium connected to the mobile terminal, and decrypts the encrypted
3 personal contents stored in the specific memory area associated with the ID information by using
4 the cryptographic key (Sashihara [0021]), and the memory area creating means includes means
5 for, when the memory medium is connected to the mobile terminal, providing a subordinate
6 memory area associated with the specific memory area in accordance with the user's operation
7 (Sashihara [0049] and [0051]: the storing of the encrypted data in the memory area), Sashihara
8 failed to specifically said memory area creating means automatically creates, in response to the
9 memory medium being connected to the mobile terminal, the specific memory area in
10 association with the ID information stored in the memory medium.

11 Chujo teaches that in a shared storage system, in order to ensure that each user does not
12 store excessive amounts of data, a "reserve space" of the storage device should be automatically
13 allocated to each user (Chujo [0005] and [0078]).

14 It would have been obvious to the ordinary person skilled in the art at the time of
15 invention to have employed the teachings of Chujo in the storage system of Sashihara by
16 allocating a reserve space in the external storage for each user of the phone. This would have
17 been obvious because the ordinary person skilled in the art would have been motivated to ensure
18 that each user does not store excessive amounts of data.

19 ***Conclusion***

20 Claims 1-20 have been rejected.

21 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's
22 disclosure.

Art Unit: 2131

1 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
2 examiner should be directed to MATTHEW T. HENNING whose telephone number is
3 (571)272-3790. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4.

4 If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's
5 supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the
6 organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

7 Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent
8 Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications
9 may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished
10 applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR
11 system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR
12 system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would
13 like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated
14 information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

15

16 /Matthew T Henning/

17 Examiner, Art Unit 2131

18 /Ayaz R. Sheikh/

19 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2131